

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Civil Appellate Jurisdiction
Original Side
G.A.NO.3353 OF 2016
RVWO 57 OF 2016
APO 315 of 2015
WP 507 of 2012

United Bank of India Retirees Welfare Association & Ors.
Vs.
United Bank of India & Ors.
AND

G.A.NO.3399 OF 2016
G.A.No.3400 of 2016
RVWO 58 OF 2016
APO 316 of 2015
WP 507 of 2012
United Bank of India
Vs.
United Bank of India Retirees Welfare Association & Ors.

BEFORE:
The Hon'ble ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE NISHITA MHATRE
The Hon'ble JUSTICE TAPABRATA CHAKRABORTY

Date : 5th December, 2016.
Mr. Swapan Kumar Dutta, Advocate
Mr. Dipankar Dasgupta, Advocate
..... for the applicants.

Mr. R.N. Majumdar, Advocate
Mr.S.Chakraborty, Advocate
Mr.S.Dutta, Advocate for the Bank.

The Court : The review application has been filed contending that certain changes are required to be made in the judgement and order dated 26th

September, 2016 passed by us. There was no need to file review petition for this purpose.

We have perused the judgment and considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties. The following changes are made in the judgment and order dated 26th September, 2016 :

a) In paragraph-3, after the second sentence, a new sentence be added as follows :

“Prior thereto, the payment of pension to the employees was governed by 6th Bipartite Settlement dated 29th October, 1993.”

b) In the sentence following the above new sentence in paragraph-3, the words “Bipartite Settlement” be inserted in place and stead of the words “Pension Regulations”.

c) In the fourth sentence of paragraph-16 the words “Regulation-37” be inserted in place and stead of “Clause-6”

d) In the fourth sentence of paragraph-16, the following words be deleted :-
“of the member banks in consonance with that paid by the Reserve Bank of India to its employees.”

e) In place and stead of the fourth sentence in paragraph-18 the following sentence be inserted :

“Therefore, we direct the bank to comply with Regulation-37 of the Pension Regulations and to pay dearness relief to the Pre-2002 retirees at the same rate as enjoyed by the post-2002 retirees.”

3

The department is directed to effect the above corrections in the judgment and order dated 26th September, 2016.

This order shall be treated as a part of the judgment and order

dated 26th September, 2016. Rest of the said judgment and order dated 26th September, 2016 shall remain the same.

Accordingly, the review petitions and all applications are disposed of.

(NISHITA MHATRE, ACJ.)

(TAPABRATA CHAKRABORTY, J.)